1 BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF SIXTH & BATTERY CORP., 4 Appellant, 5 PCHB No. 414 vs. 6 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONCLUSIONS OF LAW CONTROL AGENCY, AND ORDER 8 Respondent. 9

THIS MATTER being an appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 13th day of November, 1973, at Seattle, Washington; and appellant Sixth & Battery Corp. appearing through its vice president, Harold I. Poll and respondent Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency appearing through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin; and Board members present at the hearing being Walt Woodward; and the Board having considered the exhibits, reviewed

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Ithe transcript, records and files herein and having entered on the 18th day of December, 1973, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order; and the Board having served said proposed Findings, 3 Conclusions and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and 5 The Board having feceived no exceptions to said proposed Findings, 6 Conclusions and Order; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; 7 now therefore, 8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed 9 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 18th day of 10 December, 1973, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached 11 hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's 12 Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. 13 DONE at Lacey, Washington this 25 day of (14

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

5

26

FINAL FINDINGS

1	CERTIFICATION OF MAILING
2	I, LaRene C. Barlin, certify that I mailed copies of the foregoing
3	document on the 25 day of January, 1974 to each of the
4	following parties:
5	Mr. Keith D. McGoffin
6	Burkey, Marsico, Rovai & McGoffin
7	818 South Yakima Avenue Tacoma, Washington 98405
8	Mr. Harold I. Poll
9	Vice President Sixth & Battery Corporation
10	801 White-Henry-Stuart Building Seattle, Washington 98101
11	Puget Sound Air Pollution
12	Control Agency 410 West Harrison Street
13	Seattle, Washington 98119
14	the foregoing being the last known post office addresses of the above-
15	named parties. I further certify that proper postage had been affixed
16	to the envelopes deposited in the U.S. mail.
17	Land Bulli
18	LARENE C. BARLIN
19	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
? 5	

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF SIXTH & BATTERY CORP., Appellant, PCHB No. 414 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, vs. 6 CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer) in a formal hearing in Washington Commerce Building, Seattle, Washington, at 9:30 a.m., November 13, 1973.

Appellant was represented by Harold I. Poll, its vice president. Respondent appeared through Keith D. McGoffin. Ernest Yamaguchi, Seattle court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted.

EXHIBIT A

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

From testimony heard, exhibits examined and transcript reviewed, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACTS

I.

From the boiler stack of the Sixth and Battery Building, 2346-6th Avenue, Seattle, King County, a two-story structure owned by appellant, there was emitted black smoke of shade equivalent to No. 5 on the Ringelmann Scale for eight consecutive minutes at about 11:00 a.m., June 6, 1973.

II.

This emission, noted by an inspector on respondent's staff, resulted in respondent's Notice of Violation No. 6796, citing a violation of Section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I, being served by mail on appellant. Subsequently, and in connection therewith, respondent also caused Notice of Civil Penalty No. 959, in the sum of \$50.00, to be served on appellant. That penalty is the subject of this appeal.

III.

Section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful "to cause or allow" the emission of an air contaminant for more than three consecutive minutes in any one-hour period of a shade darker than 22 No. 2 on the Ringelmann Scale. Section 3.29 of respondent's Regulation I authorizes respondent to levy a civil penalty of not more than \$250.00 24 For any violation of Regulation I.

IV.

Appellant did not deliberately "cause" the emission and took 27 prompt action to correct the mechanical breakdown which resulted in

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

:5

the emission. ٧. 2 Appellant was cited in Notice of Violation No. 2126 for a 3 similar violation from the same boiler stack on January 8, 1970. 4 From these findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board 5 comes to these 6 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 7 I. 8 Appellant was in violation of Section 9.03 of respondent's 9 Regulation I on June 6, 1973, as cited in Notice of Violation No. 6796. 10 The violation was not deliberate but in the legal meaning of "cause" 11 in Section 9.03 there was a violation. '2 II. 13 Notice of Civil Penalty No. 959, in view of being for a second 14 violation and being one-fifth of the maximum allowable amount, is 15 both lenient and reasonable. 16 Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this 17 ORDER 18 The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 959 is 19 sustained in the amount of \$50.00. 20 DONE at Lacey, Washington, this 18th day of Necenter), 21 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 22 23 24 5 A. GISSBERG, Member 26

4 P No 9928-A